



The Korte weg - De Vries Equation: Where Is the Error?

Temur Z. Kalanov

Department of Physical Problems, Uzbekistan.

*Corresponding author: Temur Z. Kalanov, Department of Physical Problems, Uzbekistan.

Submitted: 31-Jan-2025

Accepted: 07-Feb-2025

Published: 15-Feb-2025

Citation: Kalanov, T. Z. (2025). The Korte Weg - De Vries Equation: Where Is the Error?. American Journal of Mathematical and Computer Applications 1(1): 01-06.

Abstract

The irrefutable proof of the incorrectness of the Korteweg–De Vries (KdV) equation is proposed. The correct methodological basis for the proof is the unity of formal logic and rational dialectics. The unity of formal logic and rational dialectics is the only correct criterion of truth. The proof leads to the following irrefutable statement: the Korteweg–De Vries (KdV) equation – a partial differential equation with respect to the dimensionless displacement as the function of the dimensionless coordinate and the dimensionless time – represents an incorrect equation in physics. The proof of this statement is based on the following irrefutable assertions: (1) the Korteweg–de Vries equation does not describe a physical phenomenon (process) because the dimensionless displacement, the dimensionless coordinate and the dimensionless time are non-physical quantities; (2) in the formal logical point of view, the physical (or geometric) interpretation of the equation and solutions of the equation are inadmissible; (3) comparison with experimental data (which have dimensions) is inadmissible (impossible). Consequently, the Korteweg–de Vries equation is a gross error in physics.

Keywords: Theoretical Physics, Mathematical Physics, Foundations Of Mathematics, Solitons, Solitary Waves, Matter Waves, Nonlinear Dynamics, Formal Logic, Philosophy Of Science.

PACS: 05.45.Yv, 47.35.Fg, 03.75.–b, 05.45.–a, 01.55.+b , 01.70.+w

1. Introduction

As is known, the Korteweg–De Vries (KdV) equation is a nonlinear partial differential equation of the third order. It plays an important role in the theory of nonlinear waves, mainly of a hydrodynamic nature. Therefore, it is worth paying attention to the history of the KdV equation.

“The history of the KdV equation started with experiments by John Scott Russell in 1834, followed by theoretical investigations by Lord Rayleigh and Joseph Boussinesq around 1870 and, finally, Korteweg and De Vries in 1895. The KdV equation was not studied much after this until Zabusky & Kruskal (1965) discovered numerically that its solutions seemed to decompose at large times into a collection of “solitons”: well separated solitary waves. Moreover, the solitons seem to be almost unaffected in shape by passing through each other (though this could cause a change in their position). They also

made the connection to earlier numerical experiments by Fermi, Pasta, Ulam, and Tsingou by showing that the KdV equation was the continuum limit of the FPUT system.

Development of the analytic solution by means of the inverse scattering transform was done in 1967 by Gardner, Greene, Kruskal and Miura. The KdV equation is now seen to be closely connected to Huygens' principle.

The KdV equation has several connections to physical problems. In addition to being the governing equation of the string in the Fermi–Pasta–Ulam–Tsingou problem in the continuum limit, it approximately describes the evolution of long, one-dimensional waves in many physical settings, including: shallow-water waves with weakly non-linear restoring forces; long internal waves in a density-stratified ocean; ion acoustic waves in a plasma, acoustic waves on a crystal lattice.

The KdV equation can also be solved using the inverse scattering transform such as those applied to the non-linear Schrödinger equation” (Wikipedia).

But, in my opinion, the long history of the KdV equation and the efforts of physicists and mathematicians may lose scientific significance, because the KdV equation was not formulated within the framework of the correct methodological basis of science: the unity of formal logic and rational (materialistic) dialectics [1-6].

The purpose of this work is to propose an irrefutable proof that the KdV equation does not satisfy the correct criterion of truth: the unity of formal logic and rational dialectics.

2. On the Correct Methodological Basis of Science: The Unity of Formal Logic and Rational Dialectics

a) “Dialectics is a theory and method of cognition of the phenomena of reality in their connection, development and self-movement, the science of the most general laws of development of nature, society and thinking. Dialectics operates with concepts expressed in verbal form. Dialectics was created by outstanding philosophers: Heraclitus, Plotinus, Proclus, Bruno, Kant, Fichte, Schelling, Hegel and others.

b) Materialistic dialectics – as a synthesis and generalization of the history of the development of philosophy, science and social practice – is the science of the most general laws of the development of nature, society and thinking, the general methodology of cognition of the objective world. The most important principles of materialistic dialectics were formulated by Karl Marx, Friedrich Engels and Vladimir Lenin in the 19th–20th centuries on the basis of criticism of old philosophy” (Russian Wikipedia). Materialistic dialectics operates with concepts called categories. Categories are concepts of the most general nature. Categories express the most general and essential connections, properties, features, relationships of the developing material world in verbal form.

c) Rational dialectics is a materialistic dialectics, corrected and developed by me on the basis of formal logic. One of the fundamental concepts of rational dialectics is the philosophical category of measure. Measure denotes the dialectical unity, the interdependence of the qualitative determinacy and quantitative determinacy of an object or phenomenon. Qualitative determinacy does not exist without quantitative determinacy; quantitative

determinacy does not exist without qualitative determinacy. Measure expresses the boundaries within which objects or phenomena exist and are identical to themselves. Qualitative determinacy (property) is a holistic (integral, all-in-one) and special characteristic of an object or phenomenon; qualitative determinacy (property) is one of the aspects of an object or phenomenon; qualitative determinacy (property) determines and expresses the specificity of an object or phenomenon.

Objects and phenomena have not only a qualitative aspect, but also a quantitative aspect. Quantitative determinacy is the determinacy of objects and phenomena expressed by number. Number characterizes and expresses the quantitative aspects of an object and phenomenon. In essence and ultimately, mathematics is the science of operations on numbers. Numbers are the result of measurements. The results of measurements are real neutral numbers, because zero is a real neutral number. Zero is the origin. Numbers can have dimensions or not. The numbers as the results of measurements are concrete numbers (denominate number).

d) Quantities in correct mathematics are designated by letters and take on numerical values. If quantities did not take on numerical values, then these quantities would not exist in correct mathematics. Letter designations of numbers are connected (linked, united) by symbols of correct mathematical (quantitative)

operations: "+", "-", "·", ":", " $\sqrt{\quad}$ ", " $\frac{d}{dx}$ ".

The resulting connection (union) is called a mathematical expression (relationship). Symbols (for example,

" $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ", " $|$ ", " \sin ", " \cos ", " $\frac{d}{dx}$ ", " \int ")

that are not symbols of correct mathematical operations cannot be used (exist) in correct mathematical expressions [7-56].

e) A mathematical expression (relationship, equation) is correct within the concept of measure if each member of the expression (relationship, equation) has the same qualitative determinacy (i.e. dimension). In other words, all members of the expression must have identical qualitative determinacy (i.e. dimension) and quantitatively describe the same material object or phenomenon. If the members of a mathematical expression do not have dimension, then this expression does not have qualitative determinacy and does not describe a material object or phenomenon. Such a mathematical expression is a gross error in physics.

f) Formal logic is the science of correct thinking expressed in verbal form. Correct thinking is, firstly, thinking that is based on the following basic laws of formal logic: the law of identity (i.e., “A is A”, where A is a statement); the law of lack (absence) of contradiction (i.e., “A is not not-A”); the law of the excluded middle (i.e., “A is either B or not-B”, where B is a statement); the law of sufficient reason (i.e., “A is because B is”). The laws of formal logic form a unity. Correct thinking is, secondly, thinking that is based on concepts, operates with concepts. A concept is a form of thought that characterizes, fixes and expresses (in verbal form) the essential features of objects and phenomena. Connected concepts form a proposition. The connection of propositions leading to the formation of a new proposition is called inference. A theory is a system of concepts.

g) The solution to the problem of the relation between formal logic and rational dialectics is as follows. Both rational dialectics and formal logic are common means, methods of cognition of reality in verbal form. But the method of rational dialectics and the method of formal logic are different. Formal logic and rational dialectics are mutually complementary, mutually conditioned, mutually related methods of cognition that form a unity. The unity of formal logic and rational dialectics is the correct methodological basis of science. Therefore, the unity of formal logic and rational dialectics is also the correct criterion of truth.

3. Methodological Analysis of the Korteweg–De Vries (KdV) Equation

As is known, there are many different variations of the KdV equation. The simplest equation is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^3 \psi}{\partial \delta^3} - 6\psi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = 0$$

where ψ , x , t are the height displacement of the water surface from its equilibrium height, the coordinate of the displacement point and the point of time (the instant of time) of the displacement, respectively. The essence (essential feature) of the equation and the solution of the equation is that ψ , x , t are dimensionless variables. The numerical values of dimensionless quantities are abstract numbers (absolute numbers, non-concrete numbers).

1) As is known, dimensionless quantities are not physical or geometric quantities. Dimensionless quantities cannot be

expressed (defined) using concepts and names. Therefore, such dimensionless quantities as “displacement”, “coordinate” and “time” are meaningless terms. The dimensionless quantities “displacement”, “coordinate” and “time” cannot be compared with the dimensional quantities “displacement (meter)”, “coordinate (meter)” and “time (second)”. This means that comparison of the solution of the KdV equation with experimental data is formal-logical and dialectical errors of the type $1 = 1 \text{ meter}$, $1 = 1 \text{ second}$.

2) The physical (or geometric) interpretation of the solution of the KdV equation is a formal-logical error of the type $A \neq B = A$. Really, any interpretation is the following erroneous proposition: “If A is not B, then A is B”. In the case of the KdV equation, the error has the following form:

(“not-meter” is “meter”)

(“not-second” is “second”)

3) If the quantities had the dimensions “meter”, “meter”, and “second”, respectively, then substitution these dimensional quantities into the KdV equation would lead to physical (or geometric) nonsense. The nonsense would be in that the members of the KdV equation would have different dimensions.

4. Discussion

The existence of gross errors in physics can be explained as follows: (a) scientists have not learned (have not mastered) the correct methodological basis of science: the unity of formal logic and rational dialectics. Therefore, scientific works do not satisfy the correct criterion of truth [7-56]: the unity of formal logic and rational dialectics; (b) correct formal-logical definitions of physical concepts are lack (absent) in physics. Therefore, scientific works do not satisfy the correct criterion of truth [7-56]: the unity of formal logic and rational dialectics; (c) the use of pure mathematics (in particular, differential calculus) to describe the physical and geometric properties of material objects is meaningless. Therefore, scientific works do not satisfy the correct criterion of truth [7-56]: the unity of formal logic and rational dialectics.

In this point of view, the KdV equation and its solution are a gross error in physics. In addition, scientists have not understood that the correct definition of a wave is the following:

A wave is a moving locally disturbed state (physical or chemical state) of a macroscopic material object. Wave motion is the motion of a wave.

The KdV equation and its solution ignore the scientific fact that the state (property) of a material object is characterized by a dimensional value. Therefore, the KdV equation and its solution

do not satisfy the correct criterion of truth.

5. Conclusion

Thus, the essence of the Korteweg-de Vries equation – a partial differential equation with respect to the function $\psi(x, t)$, where ψ is the displacement, x is the coordinate, t is the time – is that ψ, x, t are dimensionless (non-physical) quantities. This means that the equation does not describe a physical phenomenon, process. In the formal logical point of view, the physical (or geometric) interpretations of the equation and solutions of the equation are inadmissible. Comparison with experimental data (which have dimensions) is inadmissible (impossible). The equation could have a physical (or geometric) meaning if only the quantities ψ, x, t had the dimensions “meter”, “meter” and “second”, respectively. But substitution of dimensional quantities into the equation leads to the following result: the equation turns into physical (or geometric) nonsense.

Consequently, the Korteweg-de Vries equation is a gross error in physics.

References

1. T. Dauxois, M. Peyrard. *Physics of Solitons*. Cambridge, UK; New York: Cambridge University Press, (2006). ISBN 0-521-85421-0. OCLC 61757137.
2. M.W. Dingemans. *Water Wave Propagation Over Uneven Bottoms*. River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, (1997). ISBN 981-02-0427-2.
3. M. Dunajski. *Solitons, Instantons, and Twistors*. Oxford; New York: OUP Oxford, (2009). ISBN 978-0-19-857063-9. OCLC 320199531.
4. D.J. Korteweg, G de Vries. “XLI. On the change of form of long waves advancing in a rectangular canal, and on a new type of long stationary waves”. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*, Vol. 39, No. 240, (1895), pp. 422–443. doi:10.1080/14786449508620739. ISSN 1941-5982.
5. N.J. Zabusky, M.D. Kruskal. “Interaction of “Solitons” in a Collisionless Plasma and the Recurrence of Initial States”. *Physical Review Letters*, Vol. 15, No. 6, (1965), pp. 240–243. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.15.240. ISSN 0031-9007.
6. M.M. Tsypin. “Classical mechanics of one-dimensional nonlinear systems”. *Sov. Phys. Usp.*, No. 32, (1989), pp. 944–948.
7. T.Z. Kalanov, “Analysis of the problem of relation between geometry and natural sciences”. *Prespacetime Journal*, Vol. 2, No. 1 (2011), pp. 75-87.
8. T.Z. Kalanov, “Critical analysis of the foundations of differential and integral calculus”. *MCMS (Ada Lovelace Publications)*, (2011), pp. 34-40.
9. T.Z. Kalanov, “Logical analysis of the foundations of differential and integral calculus”. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, Vol. 4, No. 12 (2011), pp. 1786-1789.
10. T.Z. Kalanov, “Logical analysis of the foundations of differential and integral calculus”. *Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences*, Vol. 30 E (Math.& Stat.), No. 2 (2011), pp. 327-334.
11. T.Z. Kalanov, “Critical analysis of the foundations of differential and integral calculus”. *International Journal of Science and Technology*, Vol. 1, No. 2 (2012), pp.80-84.
12. T.Z. Kalanov, “Critical analysis of the mathematical formalism of theoretical physics. I. Foundations of differential and integral calculus”. *Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc.*, (April Meeting), Vol. 58, No. 4 (2013).
13. T.Z. Kalanov, “The critical analysis of the Pythagorean theorem and of the problem of irrational numbers”. *Basic Research Journal of Education Research and Review*, (ISSN 2315-6872, <http://www.basicresearchjournals.org>), Vol. 2, No. 4 (2013), pp. 59-65.
14. T.Z. Kalanov, “The logical analysis of the Pythagorean theorem and of the problem of irrational numbers”. *Asian Journal of Mathematics and Physics*, (ISSN 2308-3131, <http://scienceasia.asia>), Vol. 2013 (2013), pp. 1-12.
15. T.Z. Kalanov, “The critical analysis of the Pythagorean theorem and of the problem of irrational numbers”. *Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences*, Vol. 32 (Math & Stat), No. 1 (2013), pp. 1-12.
16. T.Z. Kalanov, “On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus”. *International Journal of Scientific Knowledge. Computing and Information Technology*, Vol. 3, No. 2 (2013), pp. 25-30.
17. T.Z. Kalanov, “On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus”. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Academic Research*, Vol. 1, No. 3 (2013).
18. T.Z. Kalanov, “On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus”. *Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 4, No. 4 (2013), pp. 202-321.
19. T.Z. Kalanov, “On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus”. *Journal of Research in Electrical and Electronics Engineering (ISTP-JREEE)*, (ISSN: 2321-2667), Vol. 2, No. 5 (2013), pp. 1-5.
20. T.Z. Kalanov, “The critical analysis of the Pythagorean theorem and of the problem of irrational numbers”. *Global Journal of Advanced Research on Classical and Modern Geometries*, (ISSN: 2284-5569), Vol. 2, No. 2 (2013), pp. 59-68.
21. T.Z. Kalanov, “On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus”. *Research Desk*, (ISSN: 2319-7315), Vol. 2, No. 3 (2013), pp. 249-259.
22. T.Z. Kalanov, “The foundations of vector calculus: The logical error in mathematics and theoretical physics”.

- Unique Journal of Educational Research, Vol. 1, No. 4 (2013), pp. 054-059.
23. T.Z. Kalanov, "On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus". Aryabhata Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, (ISSN: 0975-7139), Vol. 5, No. 2 (2013), pp. 227-234.
 24. T.Z. Kalanov, "Critical analysis of the mathematical formalism of theoretical physics. II. Foundations of vector calculus". Unique Journal of Engineering and Advanced Sciences (UJEAS, www.ujconline.net), , Vol. 01, No. 01 (2013).
 25. T.Z. Kalanov, "Critical analysis of the mathematical formalism of theoretical physics. II. Foundations of vector calculus". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 32 E (Math & Stat), No. 2 (2013), pp.121-130.
 26. T.Z. Kalanov, "Critical analysis of the mathematical formalism of theoretical physics. II. Foundations of vector calculus". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc., (April Meeting), Vol. 59, No. 5 (2014).
 27. T.Z. Kalanov, "Critical analysis of the mathematical formalism of theoretical physics. III. Pythagorean theorem". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc., (April Meeting), Vol. 59, No. 5 (2014).
 28. T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". Journal of Physics & Astronomy, (www.mehtapress.com), Vol. 3, No. 1 (2014).
 29. T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". International Journal of Informative & Futuristic Research, (IJIFR, www.ijifr.com), Vol. 1, No. 6 (2014), pp. 6-27.
 30. T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". International Journal of Science Inventions Today, (IJSIT, www.ijst.com), Vol. 3, No. 2 (2014), pp. 119-147.
 31. T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". Pure and Applied Mathematics Journal, Vol. 3, No. 2 (2014), pp. 26-39.
 32. T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 33E (Math & Stat), No. 1 (2014), pp. 1-27.
 33. T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of the theory of negative number". International Journal of Informative & Futuristic Research (IJIFR, www.ijifr.com), Vol. 2, No. 4 (2014), pp. 1132-1143.
 34. T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the mathematical formalism of theoretical physics. IV. Foundations of trigonometry". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc., (April Meeting), Vol. 60, No. 4 (2015).
 35. T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the mathematical formalism of theoretical physics. V. Foundations of the theory of negative numbers". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc., (April Meeting), Vol. 60, No. 4 (2015).
 36. T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of the theory of negative numbers". International Journal of Current Research in Science and Technology, Vol. 1, No. 2 (2015), pp. 1-12.
 37. T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of the theory of negative numbers". Aryabhata Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol. 7, No. 1 (2015), pp. 3-12.
 38. T.Z. Kalanov. "On the formal–logical analysis of the foundations of mathematics applied to problems in physics". Aryabhata Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol. 7, No. 1 (2015), pp. 1-2.
 39. T.Z. Kalanov. "On the formal-logical analysis of the foundations of mathematics applied to problems in physics". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc., (April Meeting), (2016).
 40. T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of pure mathematics". Mathematics and Statistics (CRESCO, <http://crescopublications.org>), Vol. 2, No. 1 (2016), pp. 2-14.
 41. T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of pure mathematics". International Journal for Research in Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 2, No. 2 (2016), pp. 15-33.
 42. T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of pure mathematics". Aryabhata Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol. 8, No. 1 (2016), pp. 1-14 (Article Number: MSOA-2-005).
 43. T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical Analysis of the Foundations of Pure Mathematics". Philosophy of Mathematics Education Journal, ISSN 1465-2978 (Online). Editor: Paul Ernest), No. 30 (October 2016).
 44. T.Z. Kalanov. "On the formal–logical analysis of the foundations of mathematics applied to problems in physics". Asian Journal of Fuzzy and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 5, No. 2 (2017), pp. 48-49.
 45. T.Z. Kalanov. The critical analysis of the foundations of mathematics. Mathematics: The Art of Scientific Delusion. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing (2017-12-05). ISBN-10: 620208099X.
 46. T.Z. Kalanov, "Definition of Derivative Function: Logical Error In Mathematics". MathLAB Journal, Vol. 3, (2019), pp. 128-135.
 47. T.Z. Kalanov, "Definition of Derivative Function: Logical Error in Mathematics". Academic Journal of Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 5, No. 8, (2019), pp. 124-129.
 48. T.Z. Kalanov, "Definition of Derivative Function: Logical Error in Mathematics". Aryabhata Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol. 11, No. 2 (2019), pp. 173-180.
 49. T.Z. Kalanov, "On fundamental errors in trigonometry".

- Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences (Section - E - Mathematics & Statistics), Vol. 41E, No.1, (2022), pp. 16-33.
50. T.Z. Kalanov, "Theory of complex numbers: gross error in mathematics and physics". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences (Section - E - Mathematics & Statistics), Vol. 41E, No.1, (2022), pp. 61-68.
51. T.Z. Kalanov, "Differential Calculus: a gross error in mathematics". Bull. Pure Appl. Sci. Sect. E Math. Stat. 42E(2), pp. 109–121 (2023). (e-ISSN:2320-3226, Print ISSN:0970-6577. DOI 10.48165/bpas.2023.42E.2.2).
52. T.Z. Kalanov, "Classical Wave Equation: A Gross Error in Mathematics and Physics". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Science (Physics), Vol.42D No.2, July-December 2023, pp. 98-107. Print version ISSN 0970 6569. Online version ISSN 2320 3218. DOI: 10.48165/bpas.2023.42D.2.7.
53. T.Z. Kalanov, "Differential Calculus: a gross error in mathematics". Space Science Journal (ISSN: 2997-6170 | DOI: 10.33140/SSJ), Vol. 1, No.1 (2024), pp. 01-12 (Opast Publishing Group. <https://bit.ly/3wLcFQo>).
54. T.Z. Kalanov, "Differential Calculus: a gross error in mathematics". Aryabhata Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol. 16, No. 1, (2024), pp. 1-18.
55. T.Z. Kalanov, "Differential Calculus: a gross error in mathematics". Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (ISSN-2752-8081. Pulsus Group), Vol. 8, No. 2 (March 2024), pp. 01-09.
56. T.Z. Kalanov, "Classical wave equation: A gross error in mathematics and physics". J Pure Appl Math., Vol. 8, No. 2, (2024), pp. 01-06. (Pulsus Group, Published: 31-Jul-2024, DOI: 10.37532/2752- 8081.24.8(4).01-06)).

Copyright: ©2025 Temur Z. Kalanov. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.